

CITY OF SEASIDE
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**CITY OF SEASIDE
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i>	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM, REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	5
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	7
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	8

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

The Honorable City Council
of the City of Seaside
Seaside, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Seaside (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The Honorable City Council
of the City of Seaside

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "CliftonLarsonAllen LLP".

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Roseville, California
December 22, 2017

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM,
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF
EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

The Honorable City Council
of the City of Seaside
Seaside, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Seaside's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, contracts, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in

the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Roseville, California
December 22, 2017

**CITY OF SEASIDE
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

<u>Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title</u>	<u>Federal CFDA Number</u>	<u>Pass-Through Grantor's Number</u>	<u>Disbursements/ Expenditures</u>
<u>Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>			
Direct Programs:			
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218		\$ 477,973
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u>			
Direct Programs:			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		15,311
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>			
Passed through the State Department of Transportation:			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	ATP-5316(007)	124,611
<u>Executive Office of the President</u>			
Direct Programs:			
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001		1,401
<u>Department of Homeland Security</u>			
Direct Programs:			
SAFER Grant	97.083		<u>364,537</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 983,833</u>

See accompanying Note to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

**CITY OF SEASIDE
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (Schedule) presents the activity of federal award programs of the June 30, 2017, California (City). The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1A of the City's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other governmental agencies to the City are included in the accompanying schedule.

Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying Schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services.

Relationships to the Basic Financial Statements

The Schedule presented is prepared from only the accounts of the grant programs and, therefore, does not present the financial position or results of operations of the City. Monies received under various grant programs have been recorded within special revenue funds of the City.

Indirect Cost Rate

The City did not elect to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as covered in 2 CFR §200.414. Uniform Guidance, §200.510(6), requires the City to disclose whether or not it elected to use the 10 percent de minimis cost rate that §200.414(f) allows for nonfederal entities that have never received a negotiated indirect cost rate.

NOTE 2 LOANS RECEIVABLE

The City offers property rehabilitation and first time home buyer loans to eligible individuals as part of its Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. The loans do not have continuing compliance requirements and therefore the outstanding balances are not reflected on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. No new loans were issued during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

NOTE 3 HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) NOTE

The City has a federally guaranteed loan outstanding as of June 30, 2017 with the Department of Housing and Urban Development. There are no continuing compliance requirements for this loan. The outstanding loan balance as of June 30, 2017 was \$15,000.

**CITY OF SEASIDE
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements

Type of Auditors' Report Issued: Unmodified

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- Material Weakness(es) Identified Yes X No
- Significant Deficiency(ies) Identified
not Considered to be Material Weakness(es) None Reported
- Noncompliance Material to Financial
Statements Noted Yes X No

Federal Awards

Internal Control over Major Programs:

- Material Weakness(es) Identified Yes X No
- Significant Deficiency(ies) Identified
Not Considered to be Material Weakness(es) None Reported

Type of Auditors' Report Issued on Compliance for
the Major Programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are
required to be reported in accordance
with 2 CFRF 1.200, Section 516 Yes X No

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA Number(s)</u> 14.218	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u> Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
---------------------------------	---

Dollar threshold used to distinguish
between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee under
2 CFR 1.200, Section 520 Yes X No

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None reported.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

**CITY OF SEASIDE
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

**Finding 2016-001
Capital Assets Completeness**

Recommendation

We recommend that the City add a review step in its year-end closing process to ensure that all eligible costs are included in the Construction in Progress schedule and that the general ledger is updated to reflect amounts on that schedule.

Status

Corrective action has been taken and recommendation has been implemented.

**Finding 2016-002
Completeness of Water Receivables**

Recommendation

We recommend that the City review significant cash receipts received subsequent to June 30 to ensure the year-end receivables balance is complete. We further recommend the City review its June water billings to ensure they are properly accrued in the Water Fund at year-end.

Status

Corrective action has been taken and recommendation has been implemented.